

**COURT OF APPEALS OF GEORGIA
DOCUMENT RETURN NOTICE FOR APPLICATIONS**

April 6, 2015

To: Mr. Levi Arthur Fedd, GDC283507, Dooly State Prison, Post Office Box 750, Unadilla, Georgia 31091

Docket Number: Style: Levi Arhur Fedd v. The State

Your document(s) is (are) being returned for the following reason(s).

1. Your Application was not accompanied by the statutory filing fee, \$300.00 civil; \$80.00 criminal, or a sufficient pauper's affidavit. OCGA §5-6-4 and Rule 5 Please be advised that your pauper's affidavit should be notarized by a notary public.
2. Portions of the record included were not tabbed and indexed. Rules 30 (e) and 31 (c).
3. A stamped "filed" copy of the trial court's order to be appealed was not attached to your Application. Rules 30 (b) and 31 (e)
4. A stamped "filed" copy of the Certificate of Immediate Review was not attached to your Interlocutory Application. Rule 30(b)
5. Your document(s) was (were) not signed by counsel (No signatures with expressed permission are permitted). Rule 1 (a)
6. There were an insufficient number of copies of your document. Rule 6
7. No Certificate of Service accompanied your document(s). Rule 6 You should provide a copy of your filing to the District Attorney and include his/her name and address on your Certificate of Service.
8. Your Certificate of Service did not include the complete name and /or mailing address of each opposing counsel and pro se party. Rule 1(a) and 6
9. Your document exceeds page limits. Rules 24(f) , 30(e) and 31(c)
10. Your request for court action must be submitted in motion form. Rule 41 (a)
11. No extension of time for filing an interlocutory application will be granted . Rule 30 (g) . No extension of time will be granted for filing a discretionary application unless the motion for extension is filed on or before the due date of the discretionary application.
12. The type font was smaller than 10 characters per inch; type was not double-spaced or/and type was on both sides of the paper. Rules 1(c), 24(b), 37(a) and 41(b).
13. Your motions were submitted in an improper form (joint, compound, or alternative motions in one document). Rule 41 (b)
14. Margins were too small or paper size was incorrect. Rules 1(c), 24(c), 30(e), 31(c) and 41(b).
15. Your document was submitted for filing more than 30 days after the date of the order granting, denying or dismissing the application or the order granting, denying or dismissing the Motion for Reconsideration. Rules 30(j) and 31(j).
16. **Other: Your documents are being returned for the following reasons:**
 1. **Please add the stamped "filed" copy of the order you are appealing.**
 2. **Your documents are styled, "Supreme Court of Georgia" and not the Georgia Court of Appeals.**
 3. **The Certificate of Service is improper. You should provide a copy of your filing to the District Attorney and include his/her name and address on your Certificate of Service.**

IN The Supreme Court of Georgia
STATE OF Georgia

Case-2013 3-15-

Levi ARTHUR Fedd

v:
STATE OF GEORGIA

Review of The Judgment.

O.C.G.A § 28-4 Right to Appeal
section 5-7-1 (GCA § 6-100 (a))
provides as follows:

Direct appeal is authorized to The Court of Appeals of Georgia Supreme Court of Georgia in criminal case in The following instances:

- (1) from an order decision or judgment setting aside or dismissing and indictment or accusation or any count thereof; (1)
- (2) From an order, decision, or judgment arresting judgment of conviction upon legal ground; (3) from an order, decision of judgment sustaining a plea or motion in bar when the defendant has not been put in jeopardy; (2)
- (4) From an order, decision, or judgment sustaining a motion to suppress evidence illegally seized in the case of motions made and ruled upon prior to the impanelling of a jury; (3) OR (5) from an order decision, or judgment.

RECEIVED IN OFFICE
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
MAY 3 2013 3:26

And As followind Miscarriage
of justice be State of Georgia
IN County of SEMINOLE. COURT
ON charge ~~child molest~~ ation And The obstruction.

~~of~~ of investigation Sciuousness of guilt);
Hudgins v. State, 133 Ga. App 601-246 S.E.2d 283.

ON 449. U.S 888, 101 S. Ct 245, 66 L. Ed. 2d 114
(1980) (obstruction of investigation); - AS follow
Miscarriage of justice. provides

as following - ON Claimed - And ground. excluded
evidence - And Fact And proof IN aided

To Search for The TRUTH Testimony on chinese
culture which defendant Claimed Would Cast
Light The TRUTH. And New witness.

Aided to The Search. for JUSTICE.

By Law. of Georgia.

Application of Appeal.

AS follow page-3 copy

ON The Application of Appeal.

O.C.G.A. Section-5-4-1 CGCA § 6-1001 a)

provides- as follows:

MISDEMPHAGE OF JUSTICE. in The following instances: ON Reporting OFFICER 3

False statement by witness. And INVESTIGATORS-

Jonathan Hamilton. - ON Case # 2013-5-15 provid.

Witness for The State Statement in TRIAL-

And Report- To investigators. Walter Thomas

under 14- Said that he was Riding

The bus driven driven by Trina Davis - as fellow

He was sitting in The same seat as Antwann

Taylor. He Said He Saw Levi Fedd a distant

Cousin of his standing in The front Right window side wall west window of

His House while Fedd was Naked

as The bus drove by Fedd's house (3918 Ella

Orange Rd). The interview was

Completed at 1837

Court of Appeals:

As following on Copy 5 - Page 4

ON Statement from State witness. as provided
under oath. by defendant

IN TRIAL Walter Thomas age 14 - at time
say it was No Mastenbatine And no other
statement by student of it. OR commented
as to it. it was No Mastenbatine Seeing by

No one. but MIS DAVIS over 14 - and 21 -

MR. Walter Thomas said that He was sitting
in The same seat as Antwann Taylor

driven by Davis. on drive side 10 - seat This is

IN TRIAL. Antwann Taylor) MR THOMAS AS
Victim. say all so He ON The Right side ~~of~~ pass side

12 seat. MR ~~Antwanna~~ Taylor - say That is not

The TRUTH. Antwann Taylor over
14 - said He was sitting in The same seat

as walter thomas. driven by Davis ON
Her side 10 - seat. all The time. And He could

not tell if ; MR Fedel was naked

on next - under oath. by defendant

AS Follow And provided Page-5-Copy

ON MISCarriage of justice
And Statement in Trial. Statement by

Davis at The Seminole County Sheriff
office in The Chief investigator's office.

Trina Davis is a school bus driver

Called once before on 4-8-2013 said see
saw a black male standing on The side
porch naked at 3918 Ella Orange Rd. it is No
side porch on The Home. 3918 OR

3919. Davis said on 3-1-2013 She saw

The same man at the same house
identified as 3918. Ella Orange Rd) in The
window naked. While traveling west

ON Ella Orange Rd. Right side touching his
penis and masturbating. two of

The older children made the comment
that They seen naked man.

AS follow ON PASSING This ^{Page 10}

Residence ON County School bus

dropped OF three student on The Lift
passed The house which is The Home

of The man i witness. back man. dropped off
more students and Turned around, come back
Approaching this mans house he was standing

in The window Completely nude- And was

MASTENBATINE. Mrs Davis - say see was sound

30- mil ph ON Road- at time. ~~and~~ And

see ~~she~~ The man And wave at Him. in The

Window- Look at The bus Tape

she did not cause MR Levi Fedd Name ON Statement
OR in TRIal. AS following office Report false

Statement by Him IN TRIal ; MR Levi a Fedd

was one mil Away from my Home walking

At ARRESTED probation violation WARRANT

False Statement by The Seminole Co Sheriff

office He had knowleged it was. No

Application of Appeal pag 4 Copo
As Follow Miscarriage of Justice.
by STATE of Georgia in Seminole
County Court.

ON Charge, Child Molestat. Under
Obstruction of investigation
A. Miscarriage of Justice.

As follow and provided ON STATEMENT
by State Witness on Falsement. Sheriff office of
Seminole County. Say He was
Dispatched to The Area of 3918 E. Orange Rd
Himself as Seminole County School bus driver
By The Complainant AS PROVIDED MIS

Davis did not Tell The Sheriff No Name. At The Time
The Call was Mad one or Two minutes After The
incident. This all happend At 4 PM She Say it was
A back Man. Two minutes The Sheriff
ARRIVED at the Residence. He found No one in Sid.
AS follow ON mis Davis See Saw A back
Man in The Window. Sid. gond East. Just Look it This

MR Thoms The Victim in TRIAL And ON Statement
Say fort window At The Same time. gond East.
ALL window is tent. ON Home. And A Big Tree in
fort of The window. did not Show
ON Tape OR Photographs by The investigators, OR The Road.
Just # The Home. The bus was going 30 MPH at
Time. All ON MAY 1. 2013 Case 2013-5-15

And in ON one state See Say ~~AND~~
wave At some one in The Home
Hearing evidence; To determination The
Court may Receive PROOF ORAL SWORN
Affidavits OR other evidenc And by OATH.

Certificate Copy page 8
A true Copy to which it Relates

Of Record And attestation of Copy. S-
with Specifying any detail as to The
Location and Length OR The Record
by Reference to The number of pages And Similar
details. by defendant.
McIntyre v. Balkcom 229 Ga 81. 189 S.E. 2d 445 (1972)

AS provid by following which it Relates.

ON May 1, 2013 Case 2013 5-13 At 4:5
WAS DISPATCHED TO 3919 ELLA ORANGE
in Reference to Levi Fedd Exposing Him
Self To bus DRIVER TRINA DAVIS And two
STUDENTS AS They were passing This Residence
ON County School bus. AS follow the office

i ARRIVED At Residence At 4:07 pm And found
Door To Residence open And No one There.
i proceeded west ON ELLA ORANGE Road
And found Levi Fedd WALKING
west NEAR in The Intersection of NEWBERRY CHURCH Rd
Levi Fedd was ARRESTED FOR PROBATION VIOLATION

Under Oath by defendant. i MR Levi A Fedd is A TRUCK
DRIVER for 13 year 48 State. Know mil. And by Record
ONE mil A way FROM Home at The time. Found
All Around Time Seeing in window.
Look at This. The time Call Come in 4:00 pm.
At 4:05 The OFFICER get Call. two minutes Later OFFICER
ARRIVED At Residence. At 4:07 pm He was Looking
for Levi Fedd for Exposing. Hi did He know To Look For
defendant because mis Davis did not tell Him. OR No one
That it was Levi Fedd. And it was NO WARRANT
out for defendant at The time.
And He did not Come up one hours Later.
defendant Name.

AS Following

Page 9
copy -

Requires indigent assistance
of appointed Counsel at Appeal in proceedings
on ground of motion for New Trial inclusion
of The Trial Transcript Request
a transcript at The State's expense. Application
for a transcript of my trial

for purposes New Trial - unconstitutional
in sufficiency of The evidence to support
The conviction, entitled to a Reversal
it is a found upon The Record evidence ... that
No Rational trier of fact could have found
proof of guilt beyond a Reasonable
doubt. ~~The~~ had no evidence ... or proof.

The State of Georgia. in Trial

AS follow and provided - insufficiency of the evidence.
e. - Pursuing A Retrial on all ground.

~~alleged~~ alleged suppression of evidence;
false testimony and argument improper
in Trial - AS following -

Copy of Page 10-

As following- And ~~the~~ Told
me Fedd as defendant That should Tell
The jury That he has Dismissal

This case one Time, if i do He as The
judge is going to find me Contempt of
Court. in trial.

The constitutional standpoint of following
case should be considered My Right
^{led to} was violation. as equal protection
of law. because i did not Have a opportunity
to give The jury insight in to the
Case and evidence. And He Alay The district
Attorney introduce false statement And had Knowledge
of it. in trial. Mechanical Sentencing. ON The
follow factor which was in Trial.

Roberts. v united States defendant is A citizen

The importance of The Right to a fair and
impartial, At The plea bargain
district Attorney say 3 year I MR Fedd say No.

And The district Attorney Told judge in
Trial i escaped from prison And That is false
And as follow i was not found guilty ON
all counts. The ~~judge~~ judge pick. The one
And After sentencing i was not told
all This (2) (3) (4) (5) 911 Right.

Appeal provided

page- 77
copy-

As follow for by The Appellate
practice Act by his own conduct
in concert The Right of Appeal belongs to The
defendant. As follow Counsel decides it is with
out merit and Refuses to pursue. Counsel did not
informed defendant of The Right to Appeal.
Raised all issues in Appeal as Filed Likewise
defendant is indigent at This time. Show that
There are adverse collateral consequences Resulting
Resulting from The Conviction.
United States under US CS § 3731 As Amended.
on in sufficient evidence. To support Convict
ion. BUT cf. Statu Gooperman, 147 Ga App 836.
249 S.E 2d 338 (1978). direct Appeal
From. As follow in under Jarrett v. State.
opportunity to be heard on This
issue at an evidentiary hearing.
§ 28-4 indigent appellant.
As follow on Appeal.

Appeal
AS follow-

Page 12 copy -

hearsay- appellant suffered harm.

without proof participated in crime.
presence in The house
is insufficient To support conviction.

under corroborate. An accomplices testimon
y. witness for The state both said and ~~not~~
Agreed it was No Masturbating- No sex
OR No indecent act of satisfy OR Arouse
Commit an immoral act at The bus- ~~it~~ it was
No hand seeing in The Home as The bus pass by-

At 30 mil PH- 65- feet A way. The Tree was in
~~front~~ The window- That is way it is false
evidence it was left outo. in Trial. ON

New evidence of The Home. And witness.

Hearay Considering as No
evidence. AS follow And support

And provide- as The state had No evidence
in Trial To conviction.

AS Motion for new Trial- ON
Appeal.

Appeal AS follow Page 13

GEORG RULES OF EVIDENCE:

type of evidence.

in-Trial The State - Say bent of mind

it ~~shall~~ not, been include. And intent

Plan being no different than bent of mind.

The evidence - inadmissible under The new

Rule See US v. Spikes - 158 F.3d 913, 929-6th 1998)

No fact or issue. Merely showing PROPENSITY

3. merely disguise to show propensity (bent of mind)

See US v. Goodwin 429 F.2d 1141

1153 65th Cir 1974 - Evidence - Evidence - May

Not be or show have not been

in-Trial of defendant. To show plan to commit

CRIMES OF Charge. The similarities

public indecency. And in Home - insufficient).

Similar sex off, O.C.G.A. sec. 24-4-403)

ON ineffective representation argues -

Counsel failed to file a motion for NEW

TRIAL. And to properly investigate

The fact. by consulting with expert testimony

AT TRIAL AS following

COPY

AS PROVIDED ON INEFFECTIVE ^{Page 14 - Copy -}

ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL:

defendant ASKED whether would do
straight 5 year - OR no time The attorney said
no time. explained That defendant could not
be change with This OR guilty -
And defendant was prejudiced by it.
And it was - no hearing had been held
As ground to ~~the~~ TRIAL - OR notice of
A notice of Appeal. as To Day - . And
without warrant. ~~that~~ it was motion
to suppress - no notice Nevertheless
petitioner's Counsel did virtually
nothing To prepare - an effective cross examina-
tion to test their credibility. Counsel
simply did not have these means
of impeachment at his disposal
Counsel's inaction, and partly also
through The State misrepresenta-
tion.

Appeal The Court Appeals

AS follow

personal Knowledge of Victim
of in presence.

This is with The district Attorney

Say in Trial ~~To jury~~ you much Find
defendant guilt And threatening witness

for The State of Georgia - yes - it is proof.

~~He~~ Told The jury i do not care if He was

Two foot ball feild away ~~is~~ He still guilty of
in presence, ~~And win~~ ph Video tape it was 3 foot

ball feild away. win was in yard be ~~for~~ found

OR 4000-feet away - ON Tape Recording -

And it was no Tape of in sid. of Home - in Trial

if it was you could ~~that~~ ^{see} you could not get

in window - it was no Shen in sid
of Home.

AS follow The Court Appeal Page 15

PROVIDE AS - ineffective ASSISTANCE
Counsel

defendant explain more fully below.

Counsel did not properly confer with

Client did not interview Client

and prepare even obvious defense

And witnesses. did not develop available
exculpatory mitigating evidence

And did not investigate, win new evidence.
That bus drive wave at some one in The Home
at Time And sources for

impeachment of The CRUCIAL PROSECUTION
witness disloyally impeached His own Client

And failed to expose The State

Relatively weak Case) to meaningful
Adversarial.

failed of Counsel and disloyally
to defendant - on state weak
Case.

Court Appeal AS provide Copy 15

AS follow ineffective assistance
of Counsel Claim

Counsel assistance was so defective
as to performance made errors so serious
that Counsel was not functioning as the Counsel
guaranteed the defendant by the Sixth

Amendment second deficient
performance prejudiced the defense. error

were so serious as to deprive the
defendant of a fair trial a trial and insuffi-
ciently prepared for trial and as a result

did not call an expert on ~~the~~ charge who would
have questioned the officers accounts of what

They observed. state witness
case rested on the testimony of witness

it is reasonable probability of a different

outcome (expert and effective
performance during trial) Sleep in trial -
and judge under oath by defendant

AS Follow - And provide

AS PROVIDED ON INEFFECTIVE ¹³ ~~14~~ COPY ¹⁷

ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL:

DEFENDANT ASKED WHETHER WOULD DO STRAIGHT 5 YEAR - OR NO TIME THE ATTORNEY SAID NO TIME. EXPLAINED THAT DEFENDANT COULD NOT

BE CHANGED WITH THIS - OR GUILTY - AND DEFENDANT WAS PREJUDICED BY IT.

AND IT WAS - NO HEARING HAD BEEN HELD

AS GROUND TO ~~A~~ TRIAL - OR NOTICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL. AS TO DAY - AND WITHOUT WARRANT AND IT WAS MOTION TO SUPPRESS - NO NOTICE NEVERTHELESS

PETITIONER'S COUNSEL DID VIRTUALLY NOTHING TO PREPARE - AN EFFECTIVE CROSS EXAMINATION TO TEST THEIR CREDIBILITY. COUNSEL SIMPLY DID NOT HAVE THESE MEANS OF IMPEACHMENT AT HIS DISPOSAL. COUNSEL'S INACTION, AND PARTLY ALSO THROUGH THE STATE MISREPRESENTATION.

APPEAL THE COURT APPEALS

AS Follow The Court Appeal Page ~~18~~ 18

PROVIDE AS - INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE
COUNSEL

defendant explain more fully below.

Counsel did not properly confer with
client did not interview client
and prepare even obvious defense

and witnesses. did not develop available
exculpatory mitigating evidence

And did not investigate, with new evidence.
That bus driver wave at someone in the home
at time. And sources for

impeachment of the crucial prosecution
witness disloyally impeached his own client

And failed to expose the state

relatively weak case) to meaningful
adversarial.

failed of counsel and disloyally
to defendant - on state weak
case.

Court Appeal. AS provide Court 18

As follow

under statement covered by
 O.C.G.A. SECTION 17-2-210 (O.C.G.A. § 27-1302)
 prosecution was permitted to play a
 video tape recording (without sound) of the defend-
 ant, as statement. error and harm.
 present such evidence in trial.
 insufficient evidence. stated the following
 and list of the witnesses sworn before
 the Grand jury. It was no list.
 and it was mil $\frac{1}{2}$ a way with video tape
 recording at the defendant's home.
 year after district attorney fail to present
 facts. and as following notices of
 the similar arraignment was present in evidence.
 on the ground pleas demurrers, to established
 new and better law pointed out that a
 defendant has a right plea of not
 guilty. which entitles to the defense any
 within the scope of the general issue.
 filing pleadings. as notice to produce,
 and to suppress exclusion of evidence
 which is vital to the state prosecution
 of case. and fair trial there may
 be others which must be granted. or
 dismissal of indictment.

AS following Page 21
Challenge to JURORS - general

A challenge to array is an objection to
The jurors collectively because of some defect
in The panel as a whole O.C.G.A. SECTION
15-12-162 (G.C.A. § 59-803)

(A)nd ... a Challenge to The ... array. in writing
Challenge in Anthony v. State And Chapter 12,
Supta, on The grand jury AS followin

Some jury in pool know The district Attorney
AS frind and Related Meber And as follow
deprived of a fair ~~trial~~ OR equal protection
of law. The Challenge is based on
facts And in prejudice. And AS followin

Appears that The juror's opinion was... So
firm OR fixed. And error And Harm. To
The defendant. And one juror Fame Meber
was A victim in A case. of defendant Fame Meber
OR About time before trial of defendant Fame Meber
AS provided. under oath. by The defendant.
it was no evidence. unknown by defendant at
time. untruthful answers. during selection.
family Relationships of one Related to Challenges
juror. And knew victim OR had knowledge
of case. under The new law.
Changed to omit The word PRISONER at The hose.

evidence in trial was on past
Case improper hearsay testimony;

Applying fact in trial defaulted claims
 The jury was misled to believe that
 they were to determine Levi
 or defendant sentence under sex offense

Misled by prosecutor AS District
 Attorney. Failure to present proper evidence.

AS following Challenged Sentencing
 No independent evidence showing in trial and
 error in the following expression

by district Attorney expression of opinion
 to victim on ~~the~~ CROSS EXAMINATION in trial.

Charges at the beginning and the end
 of trial. And sentencing. The question
 of what offenses. it no force
 against no one or threatened or masturbating
 not in presence no intent or knowledge.

OR sexual desires OR Arouse
 no exposing. defendant was not has home at the
 time new evidence. no intent or touching
 presence of victim or ~~the~~ another

Applying ACCA. Non violent
 The fact are clearly it was and
 is no evidence.

Attack Page And Copy
As Following § 14-35 Demurrers

Special demurrers set out Reasons for
The affidavits. And applies
The harmless error. And prejudiced

AS foll date of The CRime May 1-2013
And Rule 31.1 of of uniform Rules

CGCA § 24-3331 J. in Dillard v. State
147 Ga App 317 588 249 S.E 2d 640 (1978).

Special demurrer untimely.

State v. Shepherd Construction Co. -
AS follow CLEAR Showing
No evidence in Trial. And
point out That officer who testified

for The prosecution information was
false. investigated statement
and addition to The offense Report
affected the outcome of The Case.

The deliberate substantial value
of Th. evidence could not have escaped The
prosecutor attention." differen.
Brady violation. district false statement.

And that evidence against The
defendant was seized without a warrant.
it was no videotape The police made of The
CRime Scene. at The time of
CRime. one year later out of The month. CRime.
out side Home. And false tape. of The CRime
Scene. by police

And it was knowledge in trial.
And photographs is false. Copy of places

And Use by prosecuting attorney as
evidence. in case.

The Court of Appeal Page 1 Copy
AS follow provides

ARREST it SELF Violated Fourth Amendment
because it was based on negligent
police ERROR. Leading to unlawful
ARREST. ~~The public decency~~ From
videotape of one year later
evidence. Witness was not list for trial.
based solely on the fact
provided of witness either party list.
prejudice and bad error. And harmless
And - Alleged the testimony of witness
made a difference in trial.
insufficient evidence.
No corroborated of ~~masstrubating~~. And
sufficiency of the evidence or intent.
or know ledgable of victim on bus at the time.

As part of the incident it was
brief, and no interest to victim not incidental
to victim or another, since it was
~~not~~ ~~not~~ No connectio between victim
and defendant. to establish the crime
were no fact, or showing in trial.
further, even assuming there was no
evidence. which the court point out
was not even alleged let alone proven
responsible for the acts of the other.
Naturally the window was close at time.
The witness say. And the window was tent.
defendant had no personal knowledge
of victim on bus. And witness
could not have testify to his knowledge
or intent satisfy or desires sexual
need. or arouse or in presence.

Appeal

Page Copy

AS follow-

hearsay_ appellant suffered harm.

without proof participated_ in crime,
presence in The house
is insufficient To support conviction.

Under Corrobate. An accomplices testimon

y. witness For The State both said and ~~and~~

Agreed it was No Masturbating- No Sex

OR No indecent act of satisfy OR Arouse
Commit an immoral act at The bus. ~~it~~ it was
No hand seeing in The Home. as The bus pass by-

At 30 mil PHM. 65 feet A way. The Tree was in

front The window_ That is way it is false
evidence it was left out. in Trial. ON

New evidence of The Home. And witness.

Hearay Considering as No
evidence. AS follow And support
And provid_ as The state had No evidence
in Trial To conviction.

AS Motion for new Trial_ ON
Appeal.

Copy of Page

As following- And The Told
me Fedd as defendant That should Tell
The jury That he has Dismissal

This case one Time, if i do He as The
judge is gona to find me Contempt of
Court. in trial.

The constitutional standpoint of following
case should be considered My Right
was violation. as equal protection
of law. because i did not Have a opportunity
to give The jury insight in to the
Case And evidence. And He Also The district
Attorney introduce fals statement And had Knowledge
of it. in trial Mechanical Sentencing. ON The
follow factor which was in Trial

Roberts. v united States defendant is A citizen

The importance of The Right to a fair and
impartial, At The plea bargain
district Attorney say 5 year I MR Fedd say No.

And The district Attorney Told judge in
Trial i escaped from prison And that is false
And as follow i was not found guilty ON
all counts. The ~~judge~~ judge pick. The one
And After sentencing i was not told
all This (2) (3) (4) (5) all right.

AS following page ~~2~~
Challenge to JURORS - general

A challenge to array is an objection to
The JURORS collectively because of some defect
in The panel as a whole O.C.G.A. SECTION
15-12-162 (O.C.G.A. § 59-803)

(A)nd ... a Challenge to The ... array. in writing
Challenge in Anthony v. State AND Chapter 12,
Supta, on The grand jury AS follows

Some jury in pool know The district Attorney
AS friend and related member AND as follow
deprived of a fair trial OR equal protection
of law. The Challenge is based on
facts AND in prejudice. AND as follows

Appears that The juror's opinion was... so
firm OR fixed. AND ERROR AND Harm. To
The defendant. AND ONE JUROR FAME member
was a VICTIM in a case. of FAME member
OR About time before trial. of defendant FAME member
AS provided. under OATH. by The defendant.
it was no evidence. unknown by defendant at
time. untruthful answers. during selection.
family relationships of one related to Challenges
JUROR. AND knew victim OR had knowledge
of case. under The new law.

Changed to omit The word PRISONER at The base.

Attack page and copy 10
As following insufficient evidence
erroneously admitted against the
defendant

evidence presented fail to comply
with due process requirement of ~~jeopardy~~
verdict. The judge return verdict on
concluded ~~MASTURBATING~~

improper and prejudicial. defendant
witness this. The trial judge ~~said~~ said
that it is not necessarily

for the jury to make a formal finding
on the charge. He will do that.
And decided. Unfair

Conviction on lack of evidence. And
error.

As following The State through the due
process clause of the fourteenth Amendment
The Georgia Constitution - Similarly provides
that (no) person shall be put in
jeopardy more than once for the same offense.
When a new trial has been granted after
conviction shall case of mistrial

However, as following, a special demurrer
as mistrial to prejudice indictment was
absolutely void. As follow imperfection and
put on exact point of weakness. it is

A Show Charge is MASTURBATING
And it was no evidence OR victim
say i was masturbating on him.
And no convicted on MASTURBATING from
jury in trial. invalid. And harmed.
And showing prejudiced
by being tried on defective indictment.

Court Appeals Attachments in part as follows

As following

depriving me Fedd of his
due process right. By threatening
defendant of Contempt of court.

And not let me defendant seek in my behalf
in trial

As follow Appellate
Sufficiency of The evidence.

Reviewed for error Scope of Appellate
Reviews practice Act of 1965 O.C.G.A Section

5-6-33 (CGCA § 6-901), provides,
defendant show affirmatively that

The judgment complained of was erroneous.

And being deprived of because Counsel
decided not to pursue or appeal.

And did not informed Client of my right
to Appeal. direct appeal.

CAUSATION Lack of prox. cause as defense

~~Billingsley et al~~ O.C.G.A Section 14-2-2

CGCA § 26-602 provides as follows.

A person shall not be found guilty

of any crime committed by mistake, or

or accident if was not intentional

in Home at the time or intent to commit

engaged in sex with The victim or member

of The victim on bus pass by Home

At 30 min

The Court of Appeal Page 1 Copy
As follow provides

ARREST it SELF Violated Fourth Amendment
because it was based on negligent
police errors. Leading to unlawful
ARREST. The public decency from
videotape of one year lecture
evidence. Witness was not list for trial.
based solely on the fact
of witness either party list
provided for in the
ACT. Upon a showing of
prejudice and bad error. And harmless
and
Alleged the testimony of witness
Mad a difference in trial.
insufficient evidence.
No corroborated of MASTER BATTING and
sufficiency of the evidence or intent.
or know ledgable of victim on bus at the time.
As part of the incident it was
brief, = no interest to victim not incidental
to victim or another, since it was
~~not~~ ~~not~~ no connection between victim
and defendant. to establish the crime
were no fact or showing in trial.
Further, even assuming there was no
no evidence. which the court permit did
was not even alleged let alone proven
responsible for the acts of the other.
Not really. The witness was close to crime.
The witness say. And the witness was tent.
defendant had no personal knowledge
of victim on bus. And witness
could not have testify to his knowledge
or intent satisfy or desires sexual
mood. or arousal or in presence.

Attack page and copy ~~10/11~~
As following insufficient evidence
erroneously admitted against the
defendant

evidence presented fail to comply
with due process requirement of ~~jeopardy~~
verdict. The judge return verdict on
concluded ~~masturbation~~

improper and prejudicial. defendant
witness this. The trial judge ~~did~~ said
that it is not necessary

for the jury to make a formal finding
on the charge. He will do that.
And decided. unfair

error. Conviction on lack of evidence. And

As following The State though The due
process clause of the fourteenth Amendment
The Georgia constitution - Similarly provides
that (no person shall be put in
jeopardy more than once for the same offense.
when a new trial has been granted after
conviction or in case of mistrial

However, as following a special demurrer
as misled to prejudice indictment was
absolutely void. as follow imperfection and
put on exact point of weakness. it is

A show charged is masturbation
and it was no evidence or victim
say i was masturbating on him.
and no convicted on masturbation from
jury in trial. invalid. and harmed.
and showing prejudiced
by being tried on defective indictment.

JACKSON V. WAINWRIGHT.

A weakness in the adversary system
unfairness from the prosecution's
formation and witness. for state. district
Attorney. perjured.

This is to certify that I have on this date
3-29-15 served a copy of the within

and foregoing by placing a copy of
same in the United States mail in a properly
addressed envelope with sufficient
postage thereon to ensure
delivery. This - 29 - 3 - 20 - 15 -

Levi Arthur Fedd

Levi ARTHUR Fedd